

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

In the waning years of the 19th century, the Biological Laboratory at Cold Spring Harbor was expanding its summer program of research and courses that then focu

and investigating, as far as possible, the origin and order in creation, it will find nothing to interfere with the doctrine of the church. Just around the corner, erected largely by the aid of family relatives, in its efforts for improving morals and explaining to the best of its ability life hereafter, this not so subtle plea reflected the tussle between Darwin's ideas and the doctrines of the Christian church that, unfortunately, has not disappeared after 100 years of enormous insight into the nature of life and the process of evolution. Recent pronouncements by misguided educators in Texas, who eliminated the teaching of evolution from school curriculum because creation was not taught, make it clear that it is still a challenge for some to separate religious beliefs from scientific reason and progress. What is not appreciated by many is that creation, if it should be taught at all, should be taught within the context of religious education, not in the schools as an alternative to evolution. But the very fact that this debate still exists suggests that science will always be a target for attack both because it often challenges accepted opinion and dogma and because it is sometimes difficult for the public to grasp complex new ideas. Thus, it is easy for

publication in the journal *Nature* of a study from Cornell University of the forced feeding of pollen from corn that had been genetically engineered to produce an organic,